SEGRET

COE - 1130 Copy\_of 7

## NRO REVIEW COMPLETED

5 lbs/ 1961

THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1	Chief, Development Branch, OFD-DD/P
अध्यात्त	1	Trip Report on Project MIRAL
for Project		To soundingte LISO somewhat on the Itek proposal
2. 3322	i.e	

25X1A 25X1A ILLEGIB

(a) Senting was held in Spoten on 2 May 1961 with and myself from Headquarters, smoting with the Rick Project staff.

(b) Consequity speaking there was little area of conflict with the IMED comments other than a few "mentional" fears that LMED was trying to retain Itek as a subscottractor even though they had been given the mans of "memoriate." For example, I feel that certain LMED operations and sheek-out personnel should be indestricated on the "M" occurs to insure compatability and harmony in a teen function. The insuring "fear" was that they wanted "training" for maintenance and other functions that in itemsparters existen are clearly as Itak responsibility. I feel that these areas and the relationship of the SETD were adequately explained and while a few individuals may still be elegation, they wan't fight the terminology and we can complete negotiations as soon as cost data and contract type are finalized.

## 3. WESTER MAN

25X1A

**ILLEGIB** 

## SECRET

COR-1138 Page 2

	(b) <u>Target Simulators</u> : The current Itek proposal calls for two target simulators (one for Itek and one for IMSD). I could see no need for a simulator at VAFD, but to clear the air on this possibility, I have queried LMSD to verify that they are mare of the distribution of the two simulators and know that VAFD will not be getting one.
25X1A	(c) Auriliary Frame Camera: and serious of the feelings of MPIC in
25X1A	regard to the speciliary frame opport. (See latter from dated 1 May 1961). At a quick look it appears that the thought of recreating this opport into a stellar recording position is difficult and would result in some significant delay. As it stands
25X1A	Itak is confident of having an auxiliary frame (terrain) camers on the first engineering shot early next year.  Stated that NFC would prefer to have this item in its proposed position rather than jecopardising the smallability of an auxiliary camera. This instance, because, does point out the need for early and continuous coordination with using personnel from NFC in the design phases of the various projects.
25X1A	pointed on that C support items samels not be charged to somete that can or more C** units may alip into next year. I would like to makelt a personal recommendation that Headquarters consider refurbishing may possible left over C** units into the convergent (3) configuration. Even if only one were left over, I feel it would pay to order the other portions of the unit and make a starse convergent subsystem since the vast majority of the enst is in the vehicle. We would still have three C* units and one A left to fill may vehicle remaining, if we could not afford to buy additional MEMAL systems.  (a) Same Paris: It was recommended that a list of spare parts be prepared by Itak and forwarded to Headquarters and the SETD. Magnify after SETD has this list or simultaneously with reacipt, a space parts provisioning conference is recommended in
	order to calcally arrive at a coordinated schedule for spares. Critical long load items are already under procurement.
	SIGNED
25X1A	